UNITED STATES OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS

The Honorable David Cicilline The Honorable Karen Bass The Honorable Donald Beyer The Honorable Lisa Blunt Rochester The Honorable Robert A. Brady The Honorable Michael E. Capuano The Honorable Tony Cárdenas The Honorable Yvette Clarke The Honorable Emanuel Cleaver The Honorable Katherine Clark The Honorable Steve Cohen The Honorable Gerald E. Connolly The Honorable Rosa DeLauro The Honorable Mark DeSaulnier The Honorable Ted Deutch The Honorable Lloyd Doggett The Honorable Keith Ellison The Honorable Adriano Espaillat The Honorable Dwight Evans The Honorable Colleen Hanabusa The Honorable Alcee L. Hastings The Honorable Brian Higgins

The Honorable Jared Huffman The Honorable Marcy Kaptur The Honorable William R. Keating The Honorable Robin Kelly The Honorable Ro Khanna The Honorable Sheila Jackson Lee The Honorable Pramila Jayapal The Honorable Hakeem Jeffries The Honorable Hank Johnson The Honorable Brenda L. Lawrence The Honorable Barbara Lee The Honorable Ted W. Lieu The Honorable Zoe Lofgren The Honorable Alan Lowenthal The Honorable Michelle Lujan Grisham The Honorable James P. McGovern The Honorable Gwen Moore The Honorable Luis V. Gutierrez The Honorable Jerrold Nadler The Honorable Richard M. Nolan The Honorable Eleanor Holmes Norton The Honorable Mark Pocan

June 18, 2018

The Honorable Jared Polis The Honorable Cedric L. Richmond The Honorable Jamie Raskin The Honorable Jan Schakowsky The Honorable Carol Shea-Porter The Honorable Albio Sires The Honorable Darren Soto The Honorable Eric Swalwell The Honorable Mark Takano The Honorable Mike Thompson The Honorable Dina Titus The Honorable Norma J. Torres The Honorable Niki Tsongas The Honorable Bonnie Watson Coleman The Honorable Nydia Velazquez The Honorable Maxine Waters The Honorable John Yarmuth The Honorable John Garamendi The Honorable Denny Heck The Honorable Jose E. Serrano The Honorable Mike Ouigley The Honorable Bill Pascrell, Jr.

Dear Members of Congress:

This responds to your letter dated May 29, 2018, which raises issues involving the Constitution's Emoluments Clause and conflict of interest concerns with respect to recent dealings between President Donald J. Trump, the Trump Organization and the Chinese government. Specifically, your letter asks OGE to investigate several matters, including whether the Emoluments Clause applies to a \$500 million loan by the Chinese government used to finance an Indonesian theme park project with several projected Trump-branded properties, whether President Trump sought and obtained the consent of Congress before the Chinese government made the \$500 million loan benefiting Trump properties, and whether any federal statute regarding conflicts of interest or ethics may apply to President Trump or a member of the Trump administration who participated in the decision to ease restrictions on ZTE.

At the outset, I agree that the information cited in your letter raises serious concerns. It is essential to the success of our republic that citizens can trust that the decisions made by government leaders are motivated by the public good and not by personal interests. Public officials, including those at the top levels of government such as the President, must be held to high standards. For this reason, OGE has consistently held that a President should conduct himself "as if" he or she was bound by the same conflict of interest laws and standards of conduct applicable to executive branch employees.¹ These restrictions include the primary financial conflict of interest statute which prohibits an executive branch employee (not the President and Vice President) from participating personally and substantially in a particular government matter directly and predictably affecting the employee's own financial interests, as well as the financial interests of certain individuals whose interests are imputed to them by the law.

¹ See OGE Advisory 83 x 16 (Oct. 20, 1983); see also Memorandum from Antonin Scalia, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Counsel, *Re: Applicability of 3 C.F.R. Part 100 to the President and Vice President* (Dec. 16, 1974).

Members of Congress Page 2

Additionally, all government officials are charged with avoiding actions which would cause reasonable persons to question their impartiality. Specifically, a government employee is prohibited from participating in particular matters where someone with whom he or she has a non routine consumer financial relationship is a party.² However, with respect to the conflict of interest issues you raise regarding President Trump, Congress has left no doubt that the primary criminal conflict of interest statute is inapplicable to the President.³ Similarly, the impartiality provisions of the Standards of Conduct are not applicable to the President or Vice President.⁴ Under the Constitution, the primary authority to oversee the President's ethics rests with Congress and ultimately, with the American people.

With regard to your request for investigations into matters related to the Emoluments Clause, OGE has no authority to opine on Emoluments Clause issues, which are under the sole purview of the Department of Justice and are presently under judicial review.⁵ Similarly, Congress or the Department of Justice is in a better position to know whether the President has sought or received the required congressional approval referred to in the Clause.

Finally, your letter requests OGE to look into whether any federal statute regarding conflicts of interest may apply to those involved in the ZTE decision. The primary financial conflict of interest statute would prohibit members of the Trump administration (not the President and Vice President) from participating personally and substantially in a particular government matter directly and predictably affecting the employee's own financial interests, or the financial interests of certain individuals whose interest are attributed to them.⁶ Similarly, the Standards of Conduct regulations applicable to executive branch employees also apply to such members of the Trump administration.⁷ However, your letter does not identify any other member of the Trump administration who may have participated in the decision to ease restrictions on ZTE who have such interests and OGE does not independently have such information.

I hope this response addresses the issues your letter raises. If members of your staff have questions, OGE's Chief of Staff, Shelley K. Finlayson, is available to assist them. She can be reached at 202-482-9314.

Sincerely,

1 april

David J. Apol Acting Director and General Counsel

² 5 C.F.R. part 2635. (A \$500 million loan would not be considered a "routine commercial transaction.")

³ See Ethics Reform Act of 1989, Pub. L. No. 101-194, § 401 (1989); see also 18 U.S.C. §§ 202(c), 208(a) (2012). ⁴ 5 C.F.R. § 2635.102(h).

⁵ For reference, the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel maintains a repository of its opinions addressing the Emoluments Clause online at <u>https://www.justice.gov/olc/opinions-main</u>. Emoluments Clause cases presently under judicial review include *Richard Blumenthal, et al. v. Donald J. Trump*, No. 1:17-cv-01154 (D.D.C. 2017); *D.C. and Maryland v. Trump*, No. 8:17-cv-01596 (D. Md. 2017); and *Citizens for Responsibility and Ethics in Washington v. Trump*, No. 18-474 (2d Cir. 2018).

⁶ See 18 U.S.C. § 208(a).

⁷ 5 C.F.R. part 2635.