From:	Bridget Lindquist
To:	USOGE
Subject:	Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date:	Tuesday, June 21, 2022 11:00:09 PM

I oppose the OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted. If the legal expense fund proposal is to be a regulation, compliance should not be “optional.” OGE should remove the compliance exception that makes the rule into a suggestion.
I oppose the OGE's proposed legal expense fund regulation as drafted.
OGE should also:
1) Replace the proposed recusal requirement with a broader 5-year recusal requirement that prevents donors of cash gifts from influencing decisions, policies, or regulations affecting them or the industries in which they have substantial interests;
2) Place nonprofit charities (501(c)(3) organizations) on an equal footing with large law firms by allowing them to hire legal counsel for whistleblowers.
3) Remove the offensive example involving an accused sexual harasser.