From: rabidsamfan
To: USOGE
Subject: Proposed Rule: Legal Expense Fund Regulation (RIN 3209-AA50)
Date: Tuesday, June 21, 2022 5:33:01 PM

Under no circumstance should compliance with the rules be optional. On those grounds alone the proposed rule is deeply flawed. Every government official, appointed, elected, or hired, should always be subject to the rules and the ethics of government service. Anything less is corrupt.

In addition, it seems to me that non profits should not be disadvantaged when it comes to getting legal aid for whistleblowers. In fact, whistleblowers should be given MORE support than rulebreakers.

And the recusal period. A year? Are you naive? A decade, please!

And i can't say I'm particularly pleased with the example you chose.

Yes, people may need to raise money for legal defenses, but imho, there should be a single blind fund that uses the excess from one prosecution to help the defendant in another case. And any chance of tit for tat should be absolutely eliminated. In the meantime fix what you're trying to break.

Cindy Dye
Boston, MA 02128